

#### Bioactivity Screening of Environmental Chemicals Using Cell Painting: Molecular Point of Departure Determination and Mechanistic Prediction Via Profile Similarity

Joshua A. Harrill

US EPA Center for Computational Toxicology and Exposure (CCTE)





#### Disclaimer

The views expressed in this presentation are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views or policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, nor does mention of trade names or products represent endorsement for use.



# Outline

#### Background

- In vitro hazard assessment
- High throughput phenotypic profiling (HTPP) using Cell Painting

#### Applications

- Chemical bioactivity screening
- Molecular point of departure estimation
- Chemical similarity based on profile matching
- In vitro to in vivo extrapolation (IVIVE)
- Bioactivity:exposure ratio (BER) analysis

#### Closing thoughts



# NAMs-Based, Tiered Hazard Evaluation Strategy

- US EPA is committed to reducing, replacing and refining the use of mammals in toxicity testing.
- New Approach Methods (NAMs) are any technology, methodology or approach that can be used to provide information on chemical hazard and risk that avoids the use of intact animals.
- US EPA CompTox Blueprint proposes a NAMs-based hazard evaluations strategy that advocates the use of high throughput profiling (HTP) assays in the initial tier of test.
- HTP assay criteria:
  - 1. Bioactivity profiles that can be used for **potency estimation**, **mechanistic prediction** and evaluation of **chemical similarity**.
  - 2. Compatible with human-derived culture models.
  - 3. Concentration-response screening mode
  - 4. Cost-effective.



The NexGen Blueprint of CompTox at USEPA, Tox. Sci. 2019; 169(2):317-322



# High Throughput Phenotypic Profiling (HTPP) with Cell Painting

- **Cell Painting** is a profiling method that measures a large variety of phenotypic features in fluoroprobe labeled cells *in vitro*.
- Previous Uses:
  - Functional genomics
  - Drug discovery
  - Compound efficacy and toxicity screening
  - Mechanism-of-action identification
  - Chemical grouping
- Efficient and cost-effective method for evaluating the bioactivity of environmental chemicals.

Markor	Cellular	Labeling Chomistry	Labeling	Opera Phenix		
Warker	Component		Phase	Ex.	Em.	
Hoechst 33342	Nucleus	Bisbenzamide probe that binds to dsDNA		405	480	
Concanavalin A – AlexaFluor 488	Endoplasmic reticulum	Lectin that selectively binds to α-mannopyranosyl and α-glucopyranosyl residues enriched in rough endoplasmic reticulum		435	550	
SYTO 14 nucleic acid stain	Nucleoli	Cyanine probe that binds to ssRNA Lectin that selectively binds to sialic acid and N-acetylglucosaminyl residues enriched in the trans-Golgi network and plasma membrane		435	550	
Wheat germ agglutinin (WGA) – AlexaFluor 555	Golgi Apparatus and Plasma Membrane			570	630	
Phalloidin –AlexaFluor 568	F-actin (cytoskeleton)	Phallotoxin (bicyclic heptapeptide) that binds filamentous actin				
MitoTracker Deep Red	Mitochondria	Accumulates in active mitochondria	Live	650	760	





Mitochondria









# **Examples of Chemical-Induced Phenotypes**



→ Mitochondrial compactness/texture

 $\rightarrow$  Cells are larger

Strong phenotypes are observable qualitatively and can be measured quantitatively using Cell Painting



# Image Analysis Workflow → Image Segmentation



1. find nuclei



2. find cell outline



3. reject border objects











# **Define Cellular Compartments**







membrane



cell







# **Phenotypic Feature Extraction**

5 Channels (organelles) RNA ER AGP MITO	NUCLEUS       RING       S Compartments CYTOPLASM       MEMBRANE       CELL         Image: Compartment of the structure of the structu		2	49 Feature Categories (ex. MITO_Texture_Cytoplasm) 1300 features / cell									
A A	888	O SM2	Profile	Position Basic		Module SCARP morphology Intensity			Texture				
Compactness Shape		Shape			[7]	morph-         Symmetry         Compactness         Axial         R           ology [5]         [80]         [40]         [20]         I	Radial [28]	Profile [20-30]	[9]	[14]			
				DNA			Nuclei	Nuclei	Nuclei	Nuclei Cell	Nuclei Cytoplasm	Nuclei	Nuclei
	PerkinElmer	<sup>r</sup> Opera Phenix		RNA			Nuclei	Nuclei	Nuclei	Nuclei	Nuclei	Nuclei	Nuclei
	Modality:	Confocal (single z) 20X Water CellCarrier-384 Ultra		ER			Cell	Cell	Cell	Cell	Cytoplasm	Ring Cytoplasm	Ring Cytoplasm
	Plate: Fields:		Chann	AGP			Cell	Cell	Cell	Cell	Nuclei Cytoplasm	Ring Cytoplasm Membrane	Ring Cytoplasm Membrane
				Mito			Cell	Cell	Cell	Cell	Nuclei Cytoplasm	Ring Cytoplasm	Ring Cytoplasm

With illustrations from Perkin Elmer



# **Data Analysis Pipeline**





# **Mahalanobis Distance**

**Mahalanobis Distance (D\_M):** A multivariate distance metric that measures the distance between a point (vector) and a distribution.



- Chemicals where a BMC can be determined using either the global or category D<sub>M</sub> approach are considered active.
- The minimum of the global or most sensitive category BMC is the **Phenotype Altering Concentration (PAC)**



# **Concentration-Response Modeling Example Chemical**

all-trans-Retinoic acid





# **U-2 OS ToxCast Screen Experimental Design**

Parameter	Multiplier	Notes
Cell Type(s)	1	U-2 OS
Culture Condition	1	DMEM + 10% HI-FBS
Chemicals	1,202	Selected from US EPA ToxCast chemical collection Includes 179 chemicals with annotated molecular targets Includes 462 APCRA case study chemicals
Time Points:	1	24 hours
Assay Formats:	2	High Throughput Phenotypic Profiling (Cell Painting) High Throughput Transcriptomics (TempO-Seq)
Concentrations:	8	3.5 log <sub>10</sub> units; ~half-log <sub>10</sub> spacing
Biological Replicates:	4	



Kavlock et al. (2018) Chem. Res. Tox; 31(5): 287-290 International collaboration of regulatory scientists focused on next generation chemical risk assessment including deriving quantitative estimates of risk based on NAM-derived potency information and computational exposure estimates.

APCRA Chemicals

PK parameters necessary for *in vitro* to *in vivo* extrapolation (IVIVE) *in vivo* toxicity data



### **U-2 OS ToxCast Screen Dose Plate Design**



Label	<b>Reference Chemicals:</b>	Molecular Mechanism-of-Action	Test Concentrations		
А	Etoposide	DNA topoisomerase inhibitor	0.03 - 10 μM		
В	all-trans-Retinoic Acid	Retinoic acid receptor agonist	0.0003 – 1 μM		
С	Dexamethasone	Glucocorticoid receptor agonist	0.001 – 3 μM		
D	Trichostatin A	Histone deacetylase inhibitor	1 μM		
E	Staurosporine	Cytotoxicity control	1 μM		
F	DMSO	Vehicle control	0.5 %		



# **Assay Performance / Reproducibility**



- Reference chemicals produce <u>reproducible</u> and <u>distinct</u> profiles.
- ⇒ Reference chemicals produce reproducible <u>potency</u> estimates (PACs).



### **HTPP Screening Results**



⇒ Chemicals active in HTPP are more often 'promiscuous' in ToxCast.

Chemicals active in HTPP produce less potency PACs compared to ToxCast.



# **Responses of Nuclear Receptor Modulators**

52 chemicals were annotated as targeting a nuclear receptor



**Profile Similarity** 

- For two receptor systems that are expressed (GR, RAR/RXR) potencies were comparable with ToxCast
- Phenotypic profiles for chemicals that affect these receptor systems are similar.



# **Pharmacological Blockade of Phenotypic Effects**





# **Profile Similarities for Non-Pharmaceutical Chemicals**

Organochlorines:



#### Strobilurins:



⇒ Certain groups of environmental chemicals display characteristic profiles

Preliminary results. Do not cite or quote.



# **Organochlorine Phenotype**

cytotox cytostat

100 -

100

rtosta

tested range

tested range

Benchmark Concentration [µM]

10

Aldrin (LAB-000013)

1

10















# *In Vitro* to *In Vivo* Extrapolation (IVIVE) Using High-Throughput Toxicokinetic (httk) Modeling



POD: point-of-departure AED: administered equivalent dose



# **Bioactivity to Exposure Ratio Analysis**

HTPP AEDs were compared to exposure predictions and the bioactivity exposure ratio was calculated as follows:



⇒ for 49% of chemicals, predicted exposure is > 1000x lower than estimated bioactivity

for a small set of chemicals, the BER was negative, indicating a potential for humans to be exposed to bioactive concentrations of these chemicals



# **Summary and Conclusions**

- Assay Reproducibility: Demonstrated high assay reproducibility through the use of phenotypic reference chemicals and developed experimental designs that allow for evaluation of assay performance throughout large-scale screening campaigns.
- **Potency Estimation:** Developed a concentration-response modeling workflow to identify concentration thresholds for perturbation of cell morphology (e.g. phenotypic altering concentration, PAC).
- Mechanistic Prediction: Chemicals with strong and specific target mode associations can produce similar phenotypic profiles in U-2 OS cells. Strength of similarity varies according to baseline target expression.
- **Chemical Similarity:** Chemicals with similar chemical structures can also produce similar phenotypic profiles in U-2 OS cells.
- Bioactivity to Exposure Ratio: Phenotype altering concentrations (PACs) can be converted to administered equivalent doses (AEDs) and compared to human exposure predictions for chemical ranking and prioritization.



### **Acknowledgements**



- Clinton Willis
- Rick Brockway
- Megan Culbreth
- Dan Hallinger
- Terri Fairley
- Ann Richard
- Kathy Coutros
- Maureen Gwinn
- **Russell Thomas**

- Johanna Nyffeler Katie Paul-Friedman
  - Logan Everett
  - Imran Shah
  - Richard Judson
  - Woody Setzer
  - Grace Patlewicz
  - Derik Haggard •



- Joe Trask
- Dana Hanes
- Jim Hostetter



# **THANK YOU!**

# **QUESTIONS?**