

# New Approach Methods - Toxicity

Richard Judson, PhD

Center For Computational Toxicity and Exposure

Office of Research and Development

Executive Committee Meeting | Board of Scientific Counselors September 29-30, 2021

The views expressed in this presentation are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views or policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.



#### Goals

- Use new approach methods (NAMs) to broadly characterize the mechanistic and phenotypic responses across a structurally diverse set of PFAS
- Refine structural categories based on mechanistic and phenotypic responses for grouping and read across
- Curate legacy in vivo toxicity data to identify data gaps in PFAS categories and guide selection of next PFAS compounds to test in vivo



## Approach

Toxicological Response	Assay	Assay Endpoints	Purpose
Developmental Toxicity	Zebrafish embryo assay	Lethality, hatching status and	Assess potential teratogenicity
		structural defects	
Immunotoxicity	Bioseek Diversity Plus	Protein biomarkers across	Measure potential disease and
		multiple primary cell types	immune responses
Developmental	Microelectrode array assay (rat	Neuronal electrical activity	Impacts on neuron function
Neurotoxicity	primary neurons)		
<b>Endocrine Disruption</b>	ACEA real-time cell proliferation	Cell proliferation	Measure ER activity
	assay (T47D)		
General Toxicity	Attagene cis- and trans- Factorial	Nuclear receptor and	Activation of key receptors and
	assay (HepG2)	transcription factor activation	transcription factors involved in
			hepatotoxicity
	High-throughput transcriptomic	Cellular mRNA	Measures changes in important
	assay (multiple cell types)		biological pathways
	High-throughput phenotypic	Nuclear, endoplasmic	Changes in cellular organelles
	profiling (multiple cell types)	reticulum, nucleoli, golgi,	and general morphology
		plasma membrane,	
		cytoskeleton, and mitochondria	
		morphology	



#### In Vivo PFAS Data Collection

- Public data collected into ToxValDB from multiple sources
  - ATSDR, ECHA/REACH, ECOTOX, EFSA, HESS, EPA PPRTV, ToxRefDB, open literature
  - Total of 59 of 6558 PFAS have at least one study
- QA process being developed
  - Literature records CPHEA staff and contractors use systematic literature review process to extract and QA data
  - For other records with available source documents, 100% QA of key fields will be performed using custom application
  - For remaining records (mostly ECHA / REACH), 10% QA will be performed to check for systematic software data transfer issues



#### **Current Status**

- Public comparator in vivo data is collected
  - Registrant data from OPPT still being compiled
  - All data undergoing QA
- NAM data collection largely complete, but delayed by Covid-19
- Analysis still in progress
- EPA ORD Whitepaper in progress
- Team has been supporting EPA PFAS National Testing Strategy



### Contributors – All ORD / CCTE

**Grace Patlewicz** 

Richard Judson

**Anthony Williams** 

Barbara Wetmore

Katie Paul Friedman

**Garret Nelson** 

**Russell Thomas** 

Joshua Harrill

John Wambaugh

**Katherine Coutros** 

Timothy Shafer

John Cowden

Aswani Unnikrishnan

Colleen Elonen

Johanna Nyffeler

Taylor Wall

Stephanie Padilla

Keith Houck

**Imran Shah** 

Logan Everett

**Ann Richard** 

**Todd Martin** 

Theresa Freudenrich

Kathleen Wallace

Seline Choo

**Amy Carpenter** 

**Kelly Carstens** 

Madison Feshuk

Clinton Willis

Richard Brockway

Megan Culbreth

Marci Smeltz

Matthew Scott Clifton

Matthew Henderson

Anna Kreutz

Evgenia Korol-Bexell

Larry McMillan

Lucas Albrecht

Matthew Phillips

Jon Haselman

Phil Degoey

Mike Hornung

**Katy Britton** 

Kimberly Jarema

**David Korest** 

Sig Degitz

**Supported by CSS**