

Strategic Use of High-Throughput Transcriptomics and Phenotypic Profiling Data In Support of Regulatory Decisions

Joshua A. Harrill

United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA),

Center for Computational Toxicology and Exposure (CCTE)





 The views expressed in this presentation are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views or policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, nor does mention of trade names or products represent endorsement for use.



Computational Toxicology at US EPA



ToxCast: Uses targeted high-throughput screening (HTS) assays to expose living cells or isolated proteins to chemicals and assess bioactivity and potential toxic effects.



Mostly targeted assays (*chemical* $X \rightarrow$ *target* Y). Incomplete coverage of human biological space.

New Strategy for Hazard Evaluation: Improve efficiency and increase biological coverage by using broad-based (i.e. non-targeted) assays that cast the broadest net possible for capturing the potential molecular and phenotypic responses of human cells in response to chemical exposures.



NAMs-Based Tiered Hazard Evaluation Strategy

High throughput profiling (HTP) assays are proposed as the first tier in a NAMs-based hazard evaluation approach.

HTP Assay Criteria:

- Yield bioactivity profiles that can be used for <u>potency estimation</u>, mechanistic prediction and evaluation of chemical similarity.
- 2. Compatible with multiple human-derived culture models.
- 3. Concentration-response screening mode.
- 4. Cost-effective.

To date, EPA has identified and implemented two HTP assays that meet this criteria.

- 1. High-Throughput Transcriptomics [HTTr]
- 2. High-Throughput Phenotypic Profiling [HTPP]

The NexGen Blueprint of CompTox at US EPA Thomas et al. (2019) DOI: 10.1093/toxsci/kfz058



30 September 2021

High-Throughput Transcriptomics (HTT) with TempO-Seq

TempO-Seq = Templated Oligo with Sequencing Readout

The TempO-Seq human whole transcriptome assay measures the expression of greater than 20,000 transcripts.

Requires only picogram amounts of total RNA per sample.

Compatible with purified RNA samples or cell lysates.

Lysates are barcoded according to sample identity and combined in a single library for sequencing using industry standard instruments (e.g. Illumina).

Scalable, targeted assay:

- 1) specifically measures transcripts of interest
- 2) ~50-bp reads for all targeted genes
- 3) requires less flow cell capacity than RNA-Seq

TempO-Seq Assay Illustration



Yeakley et al. (2017) DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0178302



High Throughput Phenotypic Profiling (HTPP) with Cell Painting

Cell Painting is a profiling method that measures a large variety of phenotypic features in fluoroprobe labeled cells *in vitro*.

Bray et al. (2016) DOI: <u>10.1038/nprot.2016.105</u>

Previous Uses:

Drug discovery

Compound efficacy and toxicity screening

Mechanism-of-action identification

Chemical grouping

Functional genomics

Efficient and **cost-effective** method for evaluating the bioactivity of environmental chemicals.

Marker	Cellular Component	Laboliza Chamister	Labeling Phase	Opera Phenix	
				Ex.	Em.
Hoechst 33342	Nucleus	Bisbenzamide probe that binds to dsDNA		405	480
Concanavalin A – AlexaFluor 488	Endoplasmic reticulum (ER)	Lectin that selectively binds to α-mannopyranosyl and α-glucopyranosyl residues enriched in rough ER.		435	550
SYTO 14 nucleic acid stain	Nucleoli	Cyanine probe that binds to ssRNA	Fixed	435	550
Wheat germ agglutinin (WGA) - AlexaFluor 555	Golgi Apparatus and Plasma Membrane	Lectin that selectively binds to sialic acid and N- acetylglucosaminyl residues enriched in the trans-Golgi network and plasma membrane		570	630
Phalloidin – AlexaFluor 568	F-actin (cytoskeleton)	Phallotoxin (bicyclic heptapeptide) that binds filamentous actin			
MitoTracker Deep Red	Mitochondria	Accumulates in active mitochondria	Live	650	760



30 September 2021

VIRTUAL CONGRESS 2021 27 SEPTEMBER – 1 OCTOBER 202

Chemicals Produce Distinct Quantifiable Phenotypes



adapted from Nyffeler et al. (2020) DOI: 10.1016/j.taap.2019.114876

Visible changes in cell morphology are quantifiable and reproducible.

30 September 2021



Generic Experimental Design for HTTr





Generic Experimental Design for HTPP







Assay Plate

= Test chemicals in 8-point dilution series

- = Vehicle controls (DMSO)
- = Reference chemicals in 8-point dilution series
 - = Trichostatin A (cell type agonist reference chem)
 - = Staurosporine (cell type agnostic cell viability control)

Used to track assay performance.

Both HTTr and HTPP are performed using 3-4 independent cultures / dose plate, each uniquely randomized with respect to treatment.

30 September 2021

Analysis of HTTrData for Molecular POD Determination

Raw Data Processing

Single Chemical Analysis



Concentration-Response Modeling of Signature Scores



Adapted from Harrill et al. (2021) DOI: 10.1093/toxsci/kfab009

Analysis Overview

30 September 2021

Joshua Harrill / USEPA CCTE

2021

1 OCTOBER 202



Discerning Mechanisms of Action from HTTr



The most potent and efficacious signature hits correspond to known mechanisms for these chemicals.

30 September 2021

Analysis of HTPP Data for Molecular POD Determination



30 September 2021

Joshua Harrill / USEPA CCTE

Mito

12

2021



Discerning Mechanisms of Action from HTPP



Chemicals that affect the same molecular target can produce characteristic profiles. Observation of characteristic profiles dependent on cell type.

30 September 2021

Utility of HTPP Profiles for Chemical Read Across



Structurally related chemicals, or chemicals within the same use class, can produce similar profiles of response in the HTPP assay.

30 September 2021

Joshua Harrill / USEPA CCTE

EUROTOX 2021

27 SEPTEMBER - 1 OCTOBER 202

HTTrand HTPP Screening Study Descriptions



Parameter	Multiplier	Notes				
Chemicals	462	APCRA retrospective case study chemicals				
Cell Types	4	U-2 OS		HepaRG-2D	MCF7	
Assay Formats	2	HTPP	HTTr	HTTr	HTTr	
Exposure Durations	Variable	24 HR	24 HR	24 HR	6 HR	
Concentrations:	8	3.5 log ₁₀ units; ~half-log ₁₀ spacing				
Biological Replicates:	Variable	4	3	3	3	



Kavlock et al. (2018) DOI: <u>10.1021/acs.chemrestox.7b00339</u>

30 September 2021

"Advancing Methodology" case study: deriving quantitative estimates of risk based on NAM-derived potency information and computational exposure estimates.



PK parameters necessary for *in vitro* to *in vivo* extrapolation (IVIVE) *in vivo* toxicity data



Comparison of Screening Results Across Cell Lines



Chemical (Ranked in Order of HTP NAM Potency)

30 September 2021

In Vitro to In Vivo Extrapolation (IVIVE)



30 September 2021

Joshua Harrill / USEPA CCTE

EUROTOX 2021

27 SEPTEMBER - 1 OCTOBER 202

Bioactivity / In Vivo Effect Value Ratio Analysis

Negative ratios indicate that AEDs derived from HTP NAMs molecular PODs are **conservative** surrogates for traditional *in vivo* PODs.

When cell lines are considered individually, ~66-68% of chemicals had negative ratios.

When considered in combination, the number and percentage of chemicals with negative ratios **increased** (82.3 %).

Paul Friedman et al. (2020) DOI: <u>10.1093/toxsci/kfz201</u> Using ToxCast, **89 %** of APCRA chemicals had negative ratios.

Positive ratios observed for several organophosphate and carbamate pesticides.



Joshua Harrill / USEPA CCTE

30 September 2021



Bioactivity to Exposure Ratio (BER) Analysis

Negative ratios indicate a potential for human exposure to chemicals in a range that is bioactive in vitro.

When cell lines are considered individually, ~1-2% of chemicals had negative ratios.

When considered in combination, the percentage of chemicals with negative ratios **did not appreciably change**.

Positive ratios observed for several chemicals found in consumer products.

Most extreme negative ratios associated with banned or limited use organochlorine pesticides.



30 September 2021



Summary and Conclusions

- **High-Throughput Profiling:** Developed experimental designs and scalable laboratory workflows for screening of environmental chemicals with HTTr and HTPP that is compatible with multiple human-derived cell types.
- **Potency Estimation:** Develop high-throughput concentration-response modeling workflows to identify thresholds for perturbation of gene expression and cell morphology (e.g. molecular PODs).
- **IVIVE:** Molecular PODs can be converted to AEDs using high-throughput toxicokinetic modeling.
- Bioactivity to In Vivo Effect Value Ratio Analysis: AEDs from HTP assays were conservative compared to traditional PODs a majority of the time. Performance improved to ~80% when results from multiple cell types were considered in combination.
- **Bioactivity to Exposure Ratio (BER) Analysis:** AEDs derived from HTP assays were compared to high-throughput exposure predictions. There were very few chemicals where AEDs were within the range of predicted human exposures.
- **Comparison to ToxCast:** Applications using HTP NAMs potencies as input yielded comparable results compared to the use of ToxCast NAMs potencies.
- **Mechanistic Prediction:** Chemicals that are specific for a molecular target can produce characteristic profiles in HTTr and HTPP. These profiles can be used to infer mechanism-of-action facilitate chemical grouping read across based on similarity of biological responses.

30 September 2021

Acknowledgements





Office of Research and Development (ORD) Center for Computational Toxicology and Exposure (CCTE)

- Johanna Nyffeler
- Clinton Willis
- Rick Brockway
- Megan Culbreth
- Dan Hallinger
- Terri Fairley
- Ann Richard
- Kathy Coutros
- Maureen Gwinn
- Russell Thomas

- Logan Everett
- Imran Shah
- Richard Judson
- Derik Haggard
- Thomas Sheffield
- Joseph Bundy
- Woody Setzer
- Katie Paul Friedman
- John Wambaugh

PerkinElmer



Scott Auerbach

- Joe Trask
- Dana Hanes
- Jim Hostetter
 - Bio Spyder[™]
 - Jo Yeakley
 - Bruce Seligmann
 - Joel McComb
 - Pete Shepherd
 - Milos Babic
 - Dalia Gonzalez
 - Kyle LeBlanc
 - Garrett McComb