High-Throughput Assessment of Increased Chemical Toxicity Due to Hepatic Steatosis <u>Nyssa N. Tucker^{1,2}, Gail M. Nelson², Joshua A. Harrill², Brian N. Chorley^{2*}</u>

¹Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education, Oak Ridge, TN 37831 ²Center for Computational Toxicology & Exposure, US Environmental Protection Agency, RTP, NC 27709

WWW.EPA.GOV

Abstract

oleic:palmitic free fatty acid for 1 week. Cytochrome P450 (CY c activity (CYPs 1A1, 1A2, 2B6, 2C9, 2E1, 3A4) was significantly altered Relative culture viability was determined by CellTiter-Glo (CTG). and multiplexed fluorometric measurements of nuclea norphology using the Opera Phenix high-content screening (HCS) system. to known hepatotoxicant (rotenone) over a 5-point dose range for 24 or 48 hrs. Rotenone toxicity (IC50) shifted from a baseline 0.64 µM to 0.48 µM in steatotic by CTG, from 0.83 μM to 0.57 μM measuring LDH, and 0.80 μM to 0.62 μM using cell counts derived toxicity measures - including morphology, reactive oxygen species generation, and are currently being assessed. The results of this study indicated that we can neasure the impact of pre-existing conditions on environmental chemical toxicity, which will contribute o ongoing Agency efforts to assess environmental exposure risks in susceptible subpopulations

Introduction

Hepatic Steatosis = Fatty liver **Prevalent** ~1/3rd of the world Multiple causes \rightarrow A) Genetic B) Behavioral C) Exposure





Norld Population

25 – 33%

NAFLD

Fatty Live

Often **asymptomatic** & reversable

Causes morphological cellular changes

Disease state (altered lipid metabolism + ↑ triglyceride reten


may increase susceptibility to environmental chemicals.

In Vitro Model



0 mM FFA

1:2 Oleic:Palmetic Acid (1 mM FFA)



Vehicle (0.1% DMSO) Only

Rotenone (1.6 uM)

Figure 1. Establishing significant fat build-up in HepaRG cells. Oil red O staining indicated intracellular accumulation of lipid droplets after free-fatty acid [FFA] exposure in the media for 1 week. The addition of 1.6 uM rotenone, a known hepatotoxicant and mitochondrial respiratory chain complex I inhibitor, significantly increased fat retention due to decreased fatty acid metabolism.

> **U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Research and Development** Center for Computational Toxicology & Exposure Biomolecular & Computational Toxicology Division Alternative Experimental Toxicology Models Branch

R1: Model Characterization

Steatotic Cells – Fluorescence

0 mM FFA




Figure 2. Fluorometric indication of Figure Quantitative accumulation with NR staining. Lipid hepatic steatosis in HepaRG cells. accumulation due to 1 week of FFA exposure in Hoechst [HO] nuclear stain and Nile Red [NR] triglyceride stain following 48h exposure to media media was assessed by measuring fluorescence containing 1 mM of a 1:2 oleate:palmitate free- of lipid-bound Nile Red dye normalized to cell fatty acid [FFA]. count determined by Hoechst staining.

R2: Altered Susceptibility + Toxic Fingerprint






Figure 6. Cellular membrane potential Figure 7. Oxidative stress assessment in a potential) / TOTO-3 (cellular membrane integrity).





Lipid Accumulation – Spot Count / Cell









Figure changes due to hepatic steatosis in Figure 5. CYP activity perturbation due **HepaRG.** Real-time qPCR measured 6 common to hepatic steatosis in HepaRG. measurement of 3 CYP activity levels using targeted CYP enzymes active in human liver. Metabolic substrates. Metabolic enzyme activity measured enzyme expression shown as fold-change following 7-day incubation of 1 mM 1:2 oleate:palmitate following a 1h substrate incubation, shown in ng/mL and normalized to 0mM FFA contro

High-throughput Profiling of Cellular Toxicity Indicators



change with low-concentration test low-concentration test chemical exposure chemical exposure. HepaRG with no FFA in steatotic HepaRG. HepaRG incubated with FFA & exposure, 48h 0.2 uM rotenone with a HO nuclear 48h 0.2 uM rotenone, visualized with brightfield and CMstain and TMRM (mitochondrial membrane H2DCFDA (ROS); or FFA, 24h 0.2 uM rotenone using DRAQ5 nuclear stain, and mBCI (GSH).




Figure 8. Comparative HT measures with 24 h test chemical exposure over dose range. Using the Opera Phenix imager and Harmony software, fluorescent stains are reduced to values representing single cell data resolution Further analysis using R, R studio, and the TidyVerse suite result in quantitative outputs confirming visual and complementary assay outcomes.

Fatty liver changes liver metabolism. The resultant impact on chemical toxicity can be quantified in a high-throughput, hepatic cell culture.

mediated toxicity increases when cells are steatotic. Data points derived from an ATP-proxy viability assay were fit to a non-linear least-squares model and IC50 values were determined (www.ic50.tk; see Figure 10)

Comparative IC50s

	0 FFA	1 FFA
CTG	0.62	0.35
LDH	0.83	0.57
CELL#		
MD		

IC50 10. Figure **Calculations** from **Toxicity** Different Measurements. IC50 results from 4 assay types examining rotenone toxicity. Mahalanobis distance (MD) derived from measured nuclear features (see Figure 11). CellTiter-Glo (CTG) and Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH) release assays from same plate, cell count and MD from independent experiment

WIP: Interrogating CYPs with Targeted Chemicals




Figure 12. Nuclear morphological assessments with 24 h high concentration test chemical exposure. Two methods of FFA exposure with test chemical produce visually distinct outcomes, potentially due to differences in chemical uptake and processing. Examining nuclear morphology indicates potential use of fluorescent intensity as proxy measures of cell viability. Further analysis of textural features are potentially useful indicators of sub-toxic responses.

Conclusion and Future Directions

Steatotic HepaRG: viable but have altered CYP metabolism. This state alters the hepatoxicity of some chemical exposures, underscoring the importance of assessing hepatic steatosis as a common susceptibility factor for chemical toxicity. We can assess the impact of **CYP enzyme alteration due to steatosis** by examining reference toxicants targeted by specific CYP metabolism: High-content imaging/analysis, especially **GIS topographical measures**, will help us assess the impact of hepatic steatosis on chemical-mediated toxicity; Mitochondrial or cellular membrane potentials coupled with GSH/ROS measures may indicate additional parameters of mechanistic toxicity using similar workflow processes. reatest thanks to Denise MacMillan and the chemistry core at the EPA for HPLC ID of CYP activity! Same to my collaborators / mentor! Literally couldn't do it alone



*Brian N. Chorley I Chorley.Brian@epa.gov I 919-541-2329

R3: Correlation of Low- and High- Throughput Measures

Correlation of Features with CTG/LDH								
All Dose Levels		0 1	mM FF	A	1 m	M FFA		
0.20 - fat_f 0.15 - 0.15 - 0.00 0.10 - 0.05 - 0.00 0.00 - 11 - 0.05 - 0.00 0.00 - 11 - 0.00	Nuclear Intensity Nuclear Are rr: 0.736* rr: -0.6 : 0.978*** : -0.847 : 0.750*** : -0.701	Dead Cells 44* rr: 0.600* **): 0.681** L: 0.767**	ROS Intensity rr: 0.846*): 0.857*** 1: 0.869***	Mito.Membrane orr: 0.222 0: 0.590*** 1: -0.406*	Cell Count cr: -0.559* : -0.695** : -0.414*	Mahal.Dist. Corr: 0.030 : 0.609*** : -0.796**	CTG cr: -0.879* : -0.893** : -0.976**	LDH rr: 0.895* 0: 0.898*** 1: 0.920***
	rr: 0.533* 0: NA 1: NA 1: NA 1: NA		Corr: 0.192 0: NA 1: NA	orr: 0.368* 0: NA 1: NA	Corr: 0.171 0: NA 1: NA	rr: -0.348 0: NA 1: NA	orr: -0.327 0: NA 1: NA	Corr: 0.062 0: NA 1: NA
05- 005- -05- -10-	cr: -0.9 : -0.854 : -0.922		rr: 0.699*): 0.849*** L: 0.586***): 0.608***): 0.877***
0.5 - 0.0 - -0.5 -	~~~ y 🔨	cr: -0.892 : -0.837** : -0.883**	r: -0.663* : -0.782** : -0.598**	0: -0.238 1: 0.212	L: 0.386*	: -0.735** : 0.719***): 0.807*** 1: 0.568**	: -0.923** ear Ar
	A Second Print		rr: 0.714*): 0.766*** L: 0.729***	orr: 0.227 0: 0.041 1: 0.013	<pre>cr: -0.527* : -0.966** : -0.325.</pre>	Corr: 0.038 : 0.918*** : -0.745**	<pre>cr: -0.767* : -0.851** : -0.686**</pre>	rr: 0.656* ead D: 0.911*** L: 0.544** e
1- 0- 1 1 1	14. 1 A 12	es illes I		orr: 0.291 0: 0.536** 1: -0.243		Corr: 0.031 : 0.741*** : -0.710**	: -0.881**	rr: 0.845* Solution D: 0.854*** Inter L: 0.842***
0.5 - 0.0 - -0.5 - -1.0 -	2 1 0 M 8	n.	p. Sec. 1		Corr: 0.096 0: -0.026 1: 0.307.	Corr: 0.030 0: 0.096 1: 0.428*	orr: -0.19 0: -0.431* 1: 0.409*	Corr: 0.066 0: 0.265 1: -0.345. bp
	and the second secon	n wan s	and the second	-: -: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :		<pre>cr: -0.634* : -0.923** : 0.310.</pre>	rr: 0.519*): 0.868*** L: 0.440*	
	19.00 A. 19	e in star	W. Com	and a	`∼ 		Corr: 0.060 : -0.831** : 0.760***	Corr: 0.219 and D: 0.818***a L: -0.562** D
1- 0- -1-		· · ·						cr: -0.927* : -0.917** : -0.953**
1.0 0.5 0.5 0 1 2 3 40.000 250.500.751.00	0.51.00.50.00.5	0.5 -1.00.50.00.51.0		-1.0-0.5 0.0 0.5	-3 -2 -1 0 1	-1 0 1 2 3	-1 0 1	-0.50.0 0.5 1.0

Figure 11. Feature information correlated with nuclear features. latent variables, and low-throughput methods. Imaging output quantified using Harmony software and analyzed using R(ggpairs)

Test Chemical Set					
СҮР	Toxic Parent	Toxic Metabolite	Non Toxic		
1a2	Clozapine	B[a]P	Melatonin		
2a6	Coumarin Nifedipine	Metronidazole Phenacetin	Cisapride Montelukast		
2b6	Efavirenz	Ticlopidine Cyclophosphamide	Bupropion		
2c8	Paclitaxel Troglitazone	Isotretinoin Amiodarone	Loperamide Rosiglitazone		
2c9	Fluvastatin	lbuprofen Phenytoin	Glimepiride Warfarin		
2c19	Chloramphenicol Clomipramine	Nelfinavir	Primidone Warfarin		
2d6	Fluvoxamine Metoclopramide	Mexiletine Phenacetin	Diltiazem Propranolol		
2e1	Cisplatin	Acetaminophen Benzene	Chlorzoxazone Sevoflurane		
3a4/5	Docetaxel Rotenone	Dapsone Flutamide	Midazolam Testosterone		
Γ:			velueted		

Figure 13. Panel of evaluated **CYP-targeting** chemicals. selection of 50+ chemicals via literature review and will be assessed for mechanistic profile.