

Objective

Refined gene signatures More effective predictive screening Having sensitive and specific signatures will help us screen new chemicals for their activity

Methods Overview

Start with:

• <u>Chemical target annotations</u> for about 2,500 chemicals (such as a gene, e.g. ER Estrogen Receptor, AR Androgen Receptor, PR Progesterone Receptor)

 <u>Signature target annotations</u> for around 22,300 gene signatures from MSigDB, BioPlanet, DisGeNET, and CMAP that were annotated as being associated with a specific target (a gene, cell process, illness, etc).

Use high-throughput transcriptomics (HTTr) to optimize for true (T) and false (F) positives (P) and negatives (N):

- <u>Sensitivity: (TP / TP + FN)</u>
- Specificity: (TN / FP + TN)
- Positive predictive value (PPV): (TP / TP + FP)
- <u>Negative predictive value (NPV): (TN / TN + FN)</u>

Improve predictive toxicology:

 Increase confidence in reference chemicals for the targets Use refined signatures for <u>screening targets of new</u> <u>chemicals</u>

Refining reference chemicals and signatures of activity using high throughput transcriptomics for advancing predictive toxicology

Laura Taylor¹, Bryant Chambers¹, Nancy Baker², Joshua Harrill¹, Logan Everett¹, Imran Shah¹, Richard Judson¹ Affiliations: US EPA CCTE¹, Leidos²

Results

Estrogen Receptor, ER, as a case study example

Removed gene

help distinguish

between chemicals

that do versus don't

signatures that do not



Figure 1. This heatmap has gene signature sets as the columns and chemicals as rows. Signature scoring was used to evaluate each chemical's activity against the target (ER). The colors correspond to the efficacy level. The row side colors show the level of literature support for that chemical-target association. QC: T/C between -1 and 1 were set to 0, when hitcall was < 0.90, T/C was set to 0.





Figure 2. This heatmap has refined the signature space to just the signatures that are more useful for screening chemicals. The p-values are for t-tests between the on-target and off-target chemicals and were p < 0.05 for all retained signatures. Specificity decreased slightly but sensitivity was greatly improved.

Green = 4+ sources





Remaining signatures activity against the target being studied

Conclusions and Future Work

• Conclusions: this work will help to aid predictions of target genes and cellular pathways that are perturbed by chemicals and thus help to increase the speed and efficiency of screening chemicals for biological hazards when perturbation of those target pathways is known to result in toxic effects.

• CMAP signatures appear to be the most reliable signature source for highthroughput transcriptomics (HTTr).

• Future work: some targets may not be appropriate to evaluate or predict using HTTr data. Thus, future work will include confirming whether specific types of gene targets (for example, nuclear receptors, GPCRs, etc) cause downstream gene signature impacts that are able to detected using this HTTr method.

This poster does not necessarily represent U.S. EPA policy.